PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: MICHAEL J. MALLIE

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFN Anday/month/year) OS ANGELES

28 SEP 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference

42390.4741PCT

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/mpathypear)

Priority Date (day/month/year)

PCT/US00/28421

12 OCTOBER 2000

OF DECEMBER 1999

Applicant

INTEL CORPORATION

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the 1. international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication 2. to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of 3. the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

REMINDER 4.

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Dea R. Mattitie

Telephone No. (703) 308-3900

For

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)*

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International	application	No.
International	application	L LAO.

PCT/US00/28421

I.	Basis of the t	report			
1. 1	With regard to the	e elements of the intern	ational application	n:*	
	$oldsymbol{X}$ the interna	ational application ,	u: originally file	ed	
[x the descrip	•			
-	page::				as originally filed
	Dage:	NONE			filed with the demand
	pager	NONE		filed with the letter of	THE WITH THE WITHOUT
Ε	\mathbf{x} the claims	÷			
-	page:	48-52			. as originally filed
	Duft _t (s)	NONE		as amended (together wi	ith any statement) under Article 19
	page:	NONE			filed with the down and
	page,	NONE	Thea whi	n the letter of	. The desired the demand
Γ	x the drawing				
-	page::	1-23			, as originally filed
	page::	NONE			filed with the demand
	page::	NONE		, filed with the letter of	The district of the district o
Г	x the .:eque		t of the		
_	pageription.	1. NONE			, as originally filed
	Dage.:	NONE			filed with the domand
	Deft.	NONE		. filed with the letter of	. inco with the demand
	the language the language	ge of a translation fi ge of publication of t	urnished for the the internation	e purposes of international al application (under Rule 4	to this Authority in the language in which is search (under Rule 23.1(b)) 48.3(b)) have examination (under Rules 55.2 and
3. W	hth regard to ar	пу nucleotide and/ог	· amino acid seq	juence disclosed in the intern	national application, the international
	7 contained it	n the international a	- unlike it sam in r	- 1 - 1 r	
_					
누				on in computer readable for	m
Ļ		ubsequently to this.			
Ļ				omputer readable form	
L] The statemer international	nt that the subsequ Il application as filec	ently furnished Lhas been furn	written sequence listing doe	es not go beyond the disclosure in the
	The statement been turn, he	it that the intormation	a recorded in co.	mputer readable form 1: ident	heal to the writen requence listing has
4 X	The amenda	nent have exhilted	m the Cancella	alton (d	
' -		escription pages		WIMIT 1	
	<u> </u>	•			
		amı. Ac. <u> </u>			
5 					
′ L	Tur. Lebore de	ac been drawn ac n ca dos losmo ac filoso a c	ome of) the amer	endment, had not been made; Supplemental Box (Rule 70 Mc))	since they have been considered to go
	eplacement sheets this report as "o nd 70.17).	which have been furn "originally filed" and	ished to the receiv are not annexed	iving Office in response to an in I to this report since they do r	nvitation under Article 14 are referred to not contain amendments (Rules 70.16
**A1	ny replacement s	sheet containing such	amendments mi	ust be referred to under item	1 and annexed to this report.

INTELNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/28421

NEW CITATIONS	Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE . citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 Claims NONE . citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first ever clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point.	N N Y N
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Cl	Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Cl	N N Y N
Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-32 YONE Claims 1-32 Towns the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring of a second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first event, and clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS	Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims I-32 NONE Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first even clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS	N
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims MONE Claims MONE Claims Claims MONE Claims Claims Claims MONE Claims Claims MONE MONE	Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims NONE Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first even clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS	N
Claims NONE Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring of a second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first event, and clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS NONE	Claims NONE citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first even clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS	N
Claims NONE Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring of a second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first event, and clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS NONE	Claims NONE citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first event clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS	N
Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring of a second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first event, and clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point. NEW CITATIONS	Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method including detection of a first event in a first thread being processed in a multithreaded processor, monitoring second thread within the multithreaded processor to detect a clearing point responsive to the detection of the first event clearing a functional unit of data for both the first and second threads responsive to detecting of the clearing point.	- C -